

22nd European Congress on Gynaecological Oncology OCTOBER 23-25 + 30-31, 2021 PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC + ONLINE

European Gynaecological Oncology Congress 2021

Dostarlimab in Advanced/Recurrent Mismatch Repair Deficient/Microsatellite Instability High or Proficient/Stable Endometrial Cancer: The GARNET Study

Date: October 24, 2021 Names: **Ana Oaknin,** Lucy Gilbert, Anna V. Tinker, Jubilee Brown, Cara Mathews, Joshua Press, Renaud Sabatier, David M. O'Malley, Vanessa Samouëlian, Valentina Boni, Linda Duska, Sharad Ghamande, Prafull Ghatage, Rebecca Kristeleit, Charles Leath III, Jennifer Veneris, Tao Duan, Ellie Im, Bhavana Pothuri

congress.esgo.org

The European Voice of Gynaecological Oncology

Declaration of Interests

Dr. Oaknin reports consulting fees from AstraZeneca, Deciphera Pharmaceuticals, Genmab, GlaxoSmithKline, Immunogen, Mersana Therapeutics, MSD, Roche, and Sutro; institutional grants from Abbie Deutchland, Ability Pharmaceuticals, Advaxis Inc, Aeterna Zentaris, Amgen SA, Aprea Therapeutics AB, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Clovis Oncology Inc, Eisai Ltd, F. Hoffmann - La Roche Ltd, GlaxoSmithKline, Immunogen Inc, Merck Sharp & Dohme de Espana SA, Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc, PharmaMar, and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals; and travel support from AstraZeneca, Clovis Oncology Inc, PharmaMar, and Roche.

Dr. Gilbert reports honoraria from AstraZeneca, Merck, and Pfizer. Dr. Tinker reports institutional grants from AstraZeneca; and personal fees from AstraZeneca and Eisai. Dr. Brown reports honoraria from Olympus; consulting or advisory role at AstraZeneca, Caris, Clovis, Genentech, and GlaxoSmithKline, vand speakers' bureau at Clovis. Dr. Mathews reports institutional grants from GlaxoSmithKline. Dr. Press reports speaker's bureau compensation from AstraZeneca. Dr. Sabatier reports institutional grants from AstraZeneca, Angen, AstraZeneca, Clavis, Mitkline, Noratis, Pfizer, and Roche; and nonfinancial support from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Roche, and Roche; and nonfinancial support from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Nerse, Novocure, Regeneron, Rubis, SeaGen, Tarveda, Tesaro/GSK; research funding to institution) from Abbvie, Agenus, Ajinomoto Inc., Amgen, Array Biopharma, AstraZeneca, BBI, Bristol Myers Squibb Co, Cerulean Pharma, Clovis, Eisai, EMD Serono, Ergomed, Genentech/Roche, GenMab, GOG Foundation, Immunogen, INC Research Inc, inVentiv Health Clinical, Iovance Biotherapeutics Inc, Janssen/J&J, Ludwig Cancer Research, Merskan, NCI, New Mexico Cancer Care Alliance, Novocure, PRA Intl, Regeneron, SeaGen, Serono Inc, Stemcentrx Inc, Tesaro/GSK, Tracon Pharmaceuticals, VentiRx, and Yale University. Dr. Samouëlian has nothing to disclose. Dr. Boni reports consulting or advisory roles at Guidepoint Global and OncoArt; speaker's bureau fees from Solt; travel support from START; honoraria from IDEAYA Biosciences and Loxo; and institutional grants from Absvie, Adjummune, Alkermes, Amgen, Array BioPharma, AstraZeneca, Bisi, EMD Serono Inc, Stemcentrx Inc, Tesaro/GSK, Tracon Pharmaceuticals, VentiRx, and Yale Univesity. Dr. Samouëlian fom IDEAYA Biosciences and Loxo; and institutional grants from Absvie, Adjumune, Alkermes, Amgen, Array BioPharma, AstraZeneca, Bisi, Berno Solt; travel support from START; honoraria from IDEAYA Biosciences and Loxo; and institutional grants from Advisory board fees from Advisory board fee



This study (NCT02715284) was sponsored by GlaxoSmithKline, Waltham, MA, USA.

Background

- EC is the most common gynaecologic malignancy in the US and EU¹
- Treatment options are limited for patients with disease progression that occurs on or after first-line therapy, and overall survival is typically <1 year
- Dostarlimab is an anti–PD-1 monoclonal antibody
 - In the EU, dostarlimab is approved as a monotherapy in adult patients with recurrent or advanced dMMR/MSI-H EC that has progressed on or after treatment with a platinum-containing regimen
 - \circ In the US, dostarlimab is approved as a monotherapy in adult patients with the following:
 - dMMR recurrent or advanced EC that has progressed on or after a platinum-containing regimen
 - a dMMR solid tumour that has progressed on or after prior treatment and who have no satisfactory alternative treatment options
- Today, we present GARNET Trial outcomes from the 2 endometrial cancer cohorts:
 - The cohort A1 data presented are the data that were used to support the EU approval in dMMR/MSI-H EC
 ESGO 2^{2rd} European Congress on Gynaecological Oncology

dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; MSI-H, microsatellite instability high; PD-1, programmed death 1. 1. Siegel RL, et al. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2016;66:7–30.



GARNET Trial Design

- GARNET is a phase 1, single-arm study of dostarlimab monotherapy in multiple tumour types
- In part 2B, dostarlimab was dosed at the recommended therapeutic dose determined from parts 1 and 2A
 - 500 mg IV Q3W for 4 cycles, then 1000 mg Q6W until disease progression or discontinuation
- Primary endpoints were ORR and DOR
- Data cutoff date was March 1, 2020

Key inclusion criteria:

congress.esgo.org

- Patients must have progression on or after platinum doublet therapy
- Patients must have received ≤2 prior lines of treatment for recurrent or advanced disease
- Patients must have measurable disease at baseline
- Patients must be anti-PD-(L)1 naive

dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability high; MSS, microsatellite stable; NSCLC, non–small cell lung cancer; PD-1, programmed death 1; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; PROC, platinum-resistant ovarian cancer.



Enrolment and Outcomes



^aSafety population includes all patients who received ≥1 dose of dostarlimab; ^bEfficacy population includes all patients with measurable disease at baseline and ≥24 weeks of follow-up and an additional 3 patients with <24 weeks of follow-up who had discontinued treatment prior to 24 weeks; ^cData cutoff date: March 1, 2020. dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability high; MSS, microsatellite stable.



Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

Characteristic	dMMR/MSI-H EC (n=108)	MMRp/MSS EC (n=156)
Age, median (IQR), years	64.5 (58.5–69.5)	64.5 (30–86)
FIGO stage at primary diagnosis, n (%) Stage I Stage II Stage III Stage IV Unknown	41 (38.0) 9 (8.3) 38 (35.2) 20 (18.5) 0	46 (29.5) 11 (7.1) 43 (27.6) 55 (35.3) 1 (0.6)
Histologic subtype, n (%) Grade 1 or 2 endometrioid carcinoma Serous Clear cell Squamous Undifferentiated Carcinosarcoma Mixed carcinoma Type II EC, NOS Adenocarcinoma Unknown	71 (65.7) 5 (4.6) 1 (0.9) 1 (0.9) 4 (3.7) 0 6 (5.6) 14 (13.0) 5 (4.6) 1 (0.9)	35 (22.4) 59 (37.8) 10 (6.4) 3 (1.9) 3 (1.9) 2 (1.3) 11 (7.1) 24 (15.4) 9 (5.8) 0
Prior lines of therapy, n (%) ^a 1 2 ≥3 Prior radiation, n (%)	69 (63.9) 27 (25.0) 12 (11.1) 77 (71.3)	72 (46.2) 67 (42.9) 17 (10.9) 95 (60.9)

^aIncludes lines of the therapy in the adjuvant setting. dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; FIGO, International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics; IQR, interquartile range; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability high; MSS, microsatellite stable; NOS, not otherwise specified.



Primary Endpoint Analysis in the Efficacy-Evaluable Population*

	Cohort A1		Cohort A2			
	dMMR	MSI-H and MMRunk	Overall	MMRp	MSS and MMRunk	Overall
Parameter	(n=106)	(n=2)	(n=108)	(n=142)	(n=14)	(n=156)
Median follow-up, mo	13.8	11.1	16.3	11.5	10.4	11.5
ORR, n (%)	46 (43.4%)	1 (50.0%)	47 (43.5%)	19 (13.4%)	3 (21.4%)	22 (14.1%)
(95% CI)	(33.8–53.4)	(1.3–98.7)	(34.0–53.4)	(8.3–20.1)	(4.7–50.8)	(9.1–20.6)
Best confirmed response, n (%)						
CR	11 (10.4)	0	11 (10.2)	3 (2.1)	0	3 (1.9)
PR	35 (33.0)	1 (50.0)	36 (33.3)	16 (11.3)	3 (21.4)	19 (12.2)
SD	13 (12.3)	0	13 (12.0)	31 (21.8)	1 (7.1)	32 (20.5)
PD	39 (36.8)	0	39 (36.1)	77 (54.2)	8 (57.1)	85 (54.5)
NE	8 (7.5)	1 (50.0)	9 (8.3)	15 (10.6)	2 (14.3)	17 (10.9)
DCR, n (%)	59 (55.7)	1 (50.0)	60 (55.6)	50 (35.2)	4 (28.6)	54 (34.6)
Response ongoing	41 of 46 (89.1%)	1 of 1 (100%)	42 of 47 (89.4%)	12 of 19 (63.2%)	2 of 3 (66.7%)	14 of 22 (63.6%)
Median DOR	Not reached	Not reached	Not reached	Not reached	Not reached	Not reached

*ORR was determined by blinded independent central review using REECIST v1.1; CR, complete response; DCR, disease control rate; dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; DOR, duration of response; IQR, interquartile range; K-M, Kaplan-Meier; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MMRunk, mismatch repair unknown; mo, month; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; MSS, microsatellite stable; NE, not evaluable; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.



89.3% of responders remained in response as of the data cutoff date (March 1, 2020) Median follow-up was 16.3 months

Duration of Treatment: dMMR/MSI-H EC



63.6% of responders remained in response as of the data cutoff date (March 1, 2020) Median follow-up was 11.5 months

Duration of Treatment: MMRp/MSS EC



Safety Overview

- Dostarlimab treatment was tolerable
 - Only 5.5% of patients discontinued due to a TRAE
 - \circ $\,$ No treatment related deaths were reported $\,$

	dMMR/MSI-H EC	MMRp/MSS EC	Overall
Parameter, n (%)	(n=129)	(n=161)	(N=290)
Any-grade TEAE	123 (95.3)	161 (100)	284 (97.9)
Grade ≥3 TEAE	62 (48.1)	90 (55.9)	152 (52.4)
Any-grade TRAE	82 (63.6)	114 (70.8)	196 (67.6)
Grade ≥3 TRAE	17 (13.2)	31 (19.3)	48 (16.6)
Treatment-related SAE	12 (9.3)	13 (8.1)	25 (8.6)
Any TRAE leading to discontinuation	5 (3.9)	11 (6.8)	16 (5.5)
TRAE leading to death	0	0	0

dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; MSS, microsatellite stable; SAE, serious adverse event; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.



Treatment-Related Adverse Events

	dMMR/MSI-H EC	MMRp/MSS EC	Overall
Parameter, n (%)	(n=129)	(n=161)	(N=290)
Most common any-grade TRAE (≥10% cutoff)			
Fatigue	17 (13.2)	34 (21.1)	51 (17.6)
Diarrhoea	21 (16.3)	19 (11.8)	40 (13.8)
Nausea	16 (12.4)	24 (14.9)	40 (13.8)
Asthenia	18 (14.0)	13 (8.1)	31 (10.7)
Most common grade ≥3 TRAE (≥1.4% cutoff)			
Anaemia	5 (3.9)	3 (1.9)	8 (2.8)
Alanine aminotransferase increased	2 (1.6)	2 (1.2)	4 (1.4)
Diarrhoea	2 (1.6)	2 (1.2)	4 (1.4)
Fatigue	0	4 (2.5)	4 (1.4)
Amylase increased	1 (0.8)	3 (1.9)	4 (1.4)
Lipase increased	3 (2.3)	1 (0.6)	4 (1.4)

dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; MSS, microsatellite stable; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

ESGQ HYBRID MEETING European Gynaecological Oncology PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC + ONLINE European Gynaecological Oncology Congress 2021

Immune-Related Treatment-Related Adverse Events^a

	dMMR/MSI-H EC	MMRp/MSS EC	Overall	
Parameter, n (%)	(n=129)	(n=161)	(N=290)	
Most common irTRAEs (≥1.4% cutoff)				
Hypothyroidism	8 (6.2)	12 (7.5)	20 (6.9)	
Diarrhoea	6 (4.7)	5 (3.1)	11 (3.8)	
Amylase increased	3 (2.3)	4 (2.5)	7 (2.4)	
Aspartate transaminase increased	2 (1.6)	4 (2.5)	6 (2.1)	
Alanine aminotransferase increased	3 (2.3)	2 (1.2)	5 (1.7)	
Lipase increased	4 (3.1)	1 (0.6)	5 (1.7)	
Hyperthyroidism	3 (2.3)	2 (1.2)	5 (1.7)	
Colitis	3 (2.3)	1 (0.6)	4 (1.4)	
Hyperglycaemia	0	4 (2.8)	4 (1.4)	
Most common grade ≥3 irTRAE (≥1.0% cutoff)				
Alanine aminotransferase increased	2 (1.6)	2 (1.2)	4 (1.4)	
Diarrhoea	2 (1.6)	2 (1.2)	4 (1.4)	
Amylase increased	1 (0.8)	3 (1.9)	4 (1.4)	
Aspartate transaminase increased	0	3 (1.9)	3 (1.0)	
Hyperglycaemia	0	3 (1.9)	3 (1.0)	
Lipase increased	3 (2.3)	1 (0.6)	4 (1.4)	

^aGrade 2 or higher event from a prespecified list of preferred terms.

dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; irTRAE, immune-related treatment-related adverse event; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; MSS, microsatellite stable.



Conclusions

- Dostarlimab demonstrated an ORR of 43.5% in dMMR/MSI-H EC, and 14.1% in MMRp/MSS EC
 - o dMMR/MSI-H status was associated with a higher response rate
 - Responses were durable both dMMR/MSI-H and MMRp/MSS advanced/recurrent EC
- Dostarlimab demonstrated a notable disease control rate (34.6%; 1.9% complete response, 12.2% partial response, 20.5% stable disease) in patients with MMRp/MSS EC
 - The A2 cohort was composed of a higher percentage of patients with non-endometrioid ECs, which is historically associated with a worse prognosis compared with endometrioid EC and limited treatment options
- Safety was consistent with prior experience with dostarlimab
 - No new safety concerns emerged
 - There was low incidence of grade \geq 3 TRAES
 - No deaths were attributed to dostarlimab
- Dostarlimab is being evaluated in first-line EC in the RUBY clinical trial (NCT03981796) in combination with standard-of-care chemotherapy

dMMR, mismatch repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; MSS, microsatellite stable.



Acknowledgments

The authors thank the patients, their families, and the clinical trial staff at each site.

GARNET Cohort A1 and A2 Investigators

Canada

Stephen Welch, Anna V. Tinker, Laurie Elit, Prafull Ghatage, Vanessa Samouëlian, Lucy Gilbert, Jennifer Spratlin, Susan Ellard

Europe

Denmark: Mansoor Raza Mirza, Per Pfeiffer France: Cyril Abdeddaim, Yann-Alexandre Vano, Renaud Sabatier, Florence Joly, Dominique Berton Italy: Francesco Raspagliesi, Adriano Gravina, Giuseppe Curigliano Poland: Joanna Pikiel, Magdalena Sikorska Spain: Desamparados Roda, Maria-Pilar Barretina Ginesta, Andrés Redondo, Valentina Boni, Victor Moreno Garcia, Ana Oaknin Benzaquen, José Manuel Trigo Pérez, Alejandro Falcon Gonzalez, Angel Luis Guerrero Zotano, Javier Garcia Corbacho, Marta Gil Martin, Antonio Anton Torres UK: Susana Banerjee, Rebecca Kristeleit, Sarah Blagden, Yvette Drew, Gordon Jayson

USA

Kathleen N. Moore, Jasgit Sachdev, Angela Jain, Cara Mathews, Charles Leath III, Jubilee Brown, Brian Slomovitz, Sharad Ghamande, Christopher Darus, Matthew Carlson, Linda Duska, Melanie Bergman, Alberto Mendivil, Sardar Imam, Lee-May Chen, David M. O'Malley, Bhavana Pothuri, Virginia Kaklamani, Elizabeth Swisher, Michael McHale, Ryan Sullivan, Joshua Press, Andrea Jewell, Heidi Godoy, Hirva Mamdani, Emily Ko, Janet S. Rader, Gini Fleming, Theresa Werner, Gottfried E. Konecny, David Bajor, Stephen Liu, Joseph Beck

Writing and editorial support, funded by GlaxoSmithKline (Waltham, MA, USA) and coordinated by Heather Ostendorff-Bach, PhD, of GlaxoSmithKline, were provided by Nicole Renner, PhD, and Jennifer Robertson, PhD, of Ashfield MedComms, an Ashfield Health company (Middletown, CT, USA).



THANK YOU!



congress.esgo.org

The European Voice of Gynaecological Oncology